UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARVIN JOHNSON,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

ORDER GRANTING FURTHER

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, et al.,

Defendant(s).

2.0

Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery came on for hearing on August 31, 2007. Both sides were represented by counsel. The court having reviewed all papers filed in connection with the motion and having heard arguments of counsel, for the reasons given during the hearing, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The San Francisco Giants shall produce for deposition in accordance with Federal Rule 30(b)(6), the person most
- 25 knowledgeable about
 - (a) The preparation and maintenance of the database produced to plaintiffs which is Exhibit F to Mr. Leigh's declaration (the database).

- (b) Why the database was discontinued, as it appears to have been after 2003.
- (c) The e-mail which is Bates-stamped number SFG0000376 and is part of Exhibit H to Mr. Leigh's reply declaration (the e-mail).
- 2. The Giants shall produce Officers Ganster and Murphy to respond to the foundational questions asked during their depositions and to such follow up questions as plaintiff may ask consistent with the views announced by the court at this and previous hearings about the scope of permissible discovery. Defendants are cautioned that close questions about the scope of discovery should be resolved in favor of answering the question and later moving to strike the answer, given existing time constraints.
- 3. Mr. Sweet shall ascertain whether the documents constituting Exhibit O to Mr. Leigh's declaration pertain in any fashion to the defendant Dino Marcic and so notify counsel for plaintiff with a copy to the court by noon on Tuesday, September 4, 2007. If they do, then the Giants shall provide the person most knowledgeable about those documents as part of the deposition ordered in paragraph 1.
- 4. The Giants shall produce Mr. Bair and Mr. Costa for further deposition with respect to the database and the e-mail. If the Giants wish, they may combine these two depositions with the 30(b)(6) deposition on these same documents.
- 5. Further 30(b)(6) deposition of Lieutenant McEachin is **DENIED** without prejudice to being renewed if the 30(b)(6)

1	deposition of the Giants discloses City participation in the
2	preparation of the database.
3	6. These depositions shall be completed by Friday,
4	September 7, 2007.
5	7. Except as so granted, plaintiff's motion is otherwise
6	DENIED.
7	A separate order will follow on the question of whether
8	preclusionary sanctions against the Giants will issue for the
9	30(b)(6) deposition with respect to their affirmative
10	defenses.
11	Dated: August 31, 2007
12	Bernard Zimmerman
13	United States Magistrate Judge
14	G:\BZALL\-REFS\Johnson v. SF\ORD.GRANTING FURTHER DISC.wpd
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	